

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,078	01/28/2004	Martin James Procter	PC23208A	2233	
28880	7590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER		
WARNER-I 2800 PLYMO	AMBERT COMPANY	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL			
ANN ARBOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/766,078	8	PROCTER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Alton N. Pr	yor	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	_•						
2a)□	•								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ acce	epted or b)[\square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/2/05;7/9/04;3/3/04. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/766,078

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "substantially similar" in claims 9,10 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially similar" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term "substantially similar" is defined in specification.

Claims 9,10 are rejected for referring to Figure I and Figure III in the specification. Claims 9, 10 are incomplete since Figure I and Figure III are not in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu (USPN 6132740; 10/17/00). Hu teaches the compound 4-cyclopentyl resorcinol. See abstract, column 2 line 38 – column 3 line 12. Hu teaches that the 4-

cyclopentyl resorcinol can be combined with a pharmaceutically acceptable diluent. See column 9 lines 32-40. Hu teaches a method of applying a composition comprising 4-cyclopentyl resorcinol to the skin for the purpose of lightening the skin or reducing the pigmentation of the skin. See column 3 lines 45 – 57. Hu teaches the workup required to purify 4-cyclopentyl resorcinol involves acidifying the crude 4-cyclopentyl resorcinol with aqueous acidic acid and then combining the crude 4-cyclopentyl resorcinol with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether to give 4-cyclopentyl resorcinol as a white solid. See columns 10-11 Examples 1-2. Hu does not teach 4-cyclopentyl resorcinol in monohydrate form. However, since the work-up requires aqueous hydrochloric acid, it is obvious that 4-cyclopentyl resorcinol would have been associated with water and possibly exist in the monohydrate form. In the absence of unexpected results, Examiner takes the position that ethyl acetate/petroleum is a solvent system suitable for recrystallization and that the solid product produced can comprise polymorph crystals.

Page 3

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-15 of copending Application No. 10/848656. This is a

Art Unit: 1616

provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. The claims in USAN '656 and USAN '078 are identical in scope.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616